MOHAMMED A. HUSSAIN,

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff, 14-1798 (RMC)

V.

ROBERT A. MCDONALD,

SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS,

Defendant.

Monday, June 13, 2016

The Telephonic Deposition of

KIMBERLY HETLAND,

called for examination by counsel for the plaintiff, pursuant to notice, beginning at 2:06 p.m., before Lisa Weissmann, when were present on behalf of the respective parties:

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 2 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 2

		1		
	2			4
1	APPEARANCES	1	CONTENTS	
2	For the Plaintiff:	2	Examination by Counsel	
3	Tyler J. King, Esquire	3	Witness Page	
4	Franklin Square Law Group	4	KIMBERLY HETLAND 4	
5	1225 Eye Street, N.W.	5	Certificate of Reporter 51	
6	Suite C110	6		
7	Washington, D.C. 20005	7	EXHIBITS	
8	(202) 779-9711	8	Exhibit 1	
9	(Present via telephone)	9	(Verification of Hospital Privileges,	
10		10	Page 3) 23	
11	For the Defendant:	11		
12	Damon Taaffe, Esquire	12	Exhibit 2	
13	United States Attorney Office	13	(Verification of Hospital Privileges,	
14	555 Fourth Street, N.W.	14	Pages 1 and 2) 23	
15	Washington, D.C. 20530	15		
16	(202) 252-2568	16	Exhibits Retained by Mr. King	
17	(Present via telephone)	17		
18		18		
19		19		
20		20		
21		21		
	3			5
1	APPEARANCES (CONT.)	1	KIMBERLY HETLAND,	
2	For Ministry Saint Mary's Hospital	2	Called for examination by counsel for the plaintiff, and	
3	Matt Moran, Esquire			
4		ı	after having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public,	
	2251 North Shore Drive	3	after having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and testifies as follows: Yes.	
5	2251 North Shore Drive Rhinelander, WI 54501	3		
5 6		3 4 5	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes.	
	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF	
6	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING:	
6	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in	
6 7 8	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of	
6 7 8 9	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions	
6 7 8 9	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because	
6 7 8 9 10	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Rhinelander, WI 54501	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work? A It's 2251 North Shore Drive, and that's	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Rhinelander, WI 54501 (715) 361-2000	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work? A It's 2251 North Shore Drive, and that's Rhinelander, that's R-h-i-n-e-l-a-n-d-e-r, Wisconsin,	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Rhinelander, WI 54501 (715) 361-2000	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work? A It's 2251 North Shore Drive, and that's Rhinelander, that's R-h-i-n-e-l-a-n-d-e-r, Wisconsin, 54501.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Rhinelander, WI 54501 (715) 361-2000 ALSO PRESENT: Mohammed A. Hussain, Plaintiff	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work? A It's 2251 North Shore Drive, and that's Rhinelander, that's R-h-i-n-e-l-a-n-d-e-r, Wisconsin, 54501. Q And what is your address at work?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Rhinelander, WI 54501 (715) 361-2000 ALSO PRESENT: Mohammed A. Hussain, Plaintiff	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was examined and testifies as follows: Yes. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. KING: Q Ms. Hetland, normally depositions are done in person, and one of the instructions that are given, one of the instructions that's given is don't answer questions with a nod of the head or something to that effect, because we can't see you. But in this case, it's self-evident, so let me just go ahead and start with the basic entry. Could you please spell your name, for the record? A Sure. My name is Kimberly Hetland. That's K-i-m-b-e-r-l-y, Hetland, H-e-t-l-a-n-d. Q And what is your address, where do you work? A It's 2251 North Shore Drive, and that's Rhinelander, that's R-h-i-n-e-l-a-n-d-e-r, Wisconsin, 54501.	

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 3 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 3

6

1 Q Oh, okay. So could you also state your address

2 where you live as well?

A Oh, okay. My home address, sure. It's 3748

4 North Faust Lake Road, and that is Rhinelander Wisconsin as

5 well, 54501.

6 Q And what is your position where you work?

7 A I am the regional director of cancer services.

8 Q And who is your employer?

9 A Ministry Healthcare, and we're a part of

10 Ascension Health.

11 Q And how long have you had that particular

12 position?

13 A I have been the director of cancer services for

14 the last six months. Prior to that, for a span of about 13

15 years, I have had a combination of filling the role of

16 medical dosimetrist and manager of radiation oncology here

17 at the James Beck Cancer Center.

18 Q Okay. You said medical dosimetrist and what?

19 A And the manager of radiation oncology.

20 Dosimetrist.

21 Q Dosimetrist?

1 hand-in-hand with the radiation oncologist. The radiation

2 oncologist consults the patient and then writes a

3 prescription for what they want to give a certain tumor

4 volume. So then it's the job of the medical dosimetrist to

5 actually take CT images and works with the physician to

6 come up with a treatment plan.

7 So that entails figuring out what treatment

8 radiation angles we'll use, if we'll use like a rotational

9 arc or we'll just use static fields, figuring out the best

10 plan that delivers tumoricidal dose. Because you want to

11 give as much dose to that tumor, but also spare critical

12 structures, because critical structures within the body

13 have sensitivity to radiation.

14 For example, if you give too much radiation to

15 the spinal cord, you can cause paralysis, same with the

16 kidneys. You could cause kidney ablation. So our job is

17 really to deliver -- to come up with a plan that's safe.

18 Safe for the patient, to deliver dose to that target area

19 but to spare critical structures.

20 And then the dosimetrist reviews the plan with

21 the physician. Many times it's a few plans, some different

1 A Yes.

2 Q Okay. And you had mentioned the name of the

3 center?

4 A Yes. It's called the James Beck Cancer Center.

5 Q And is that part of the -- that's like a name for

6 this particular part of Ministry Health Care?

7 A Yes. Our cancer center is attached to Ministry

8 St. Mary's Hospital.

9 Q Okay. And that hospital is part of Ministry

10 Health Care, your employer?

11 A Correct, yes.

12 Q What's your -- what was your job -- I want to go

13 over your job description, so whichever one you prefer to

14 start with, the current position or the past position.

15 A We can start with the past position.

16 Q Okay. Can you go -- please go through the job

17 description for that and the duties, generally speaking the

18 duties that you'd perform. And if you don't mind,

19 separating them out from the dosimetrist and the manager of

20 radiation oncology.

21 A Sure. So a dosimetrist actually works

1 combinations with pluses and minuses, like maybe this has

2 really great coverage, but maybe where kind of impinging on

3 critical structures. And maybe there's one that spares the

4 structures better and maybe not so much the tumor volume.

5 So that's primarily the job of the medical

6 dosimetrist. Once we have a plan, then we go to our

7 medical physicist who does all the checking. So, any

8 questions on the medical dosimetrist part?

9 Q You said that the one who it goes to next was a

10 medical physicist?

11 A Correct, yes. A medical physicist.

12 Q And that person is the one who's configuring the

13 machine?

4 A That is the scope of their practice, yes. They

15 do configure the machine and do all the QA, but they also

16 review mine and the doctor's plan that we put together to

17 ensure, does this make sense? Did we overlook anything?

18 Did this patient have previous treatments that we didn't

19 consider? That kind of thing. So he does all the

20 secondary checks prior to treatment.

21 Q Okay. And so in your case, the dosimetrist, that

9

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 4 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

10

11

CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 4

1 person is not really -- it's almost like you're telling the

- 2 physicist this is what -- this is where the radiation needs
- 3 to go and in what amounts, and then the physicist makes
- 4 sure that the machine puts it where it's been deemed
- 5 necessary, and the amounts deemed necessary; is that
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Well, he doesn't actually deliver the radiation.
- 8 That's a radiation therapist.
- 9 Q Okav.
- 10 A He just -- he -- he checks the parameters of the
- 11 treatment plan. Another component of the treatment plan is
- 12 okay, so we have a -- one of our computers that actually do
- 13 all the calculations to figure out much will that -- will
- 14 the radiation beam on. There's an independent check just
- 15 to verify, you know, aside from the treatment planning
- 16 computer, they do an independent check to make sure that
- 17 there's plus or minus 3 percent, you know, variance, so
- 18 they do that part. They don't -- no, they don't align the
- 19 patient. That's more the radiation therapist.
- 20 Q So the independent check was the physicist;
- 21 correct?

1

- 1 Another piece is the isodose distribution. And
 - 2 the isodose distribution is basically the dose the patient
 - 3 is receiving displayed on a CT scan. So the CT scan is
 - 4 like a loaf of bread. So you're -- you're looking at the
 - 5 image -- you're looking at the target where we're treating
 - 6 and then you'll see these lines, and each line represents
 - 7 dose. And as you get close to the target volume, you'll
 - 8 see the full dose, and as you go further away, you'll see
 - 9 -- you'll see less dose.
 - 10 There's also quality -- quality components to the
 - 11 treatment plan. We do some quality checks, specifically if
 - 12 it's intensity modulated radiation therapy, we'll do
 - 13 quality checks on the machine. So you'll -- there'll be a
 - 14 report regarding that if it -- you know, obviously if it
 - 15 passed or failed. And then it also -- we have an
 - 16 independent check that we do in collaboration with the
 - 17 physicist separate to the treatment plan.
 - 18 So those are -- those are the components of
 - 19 really dosimetry. It's -- it's the treatment planning
 - 20 component, along with the two-way documents.
 - 21 Q And then so who generates the -- the QA?

- A Correct
- 2 Q Can you tell me what the -- what the -- what
- 3 medical records or what medical notes are specific to the
- 4 dosimetrist?
- 5 A Okay. Yeah, so once we have a treatment plan,
- 6 we'll actually do, well, really a summary of the PDFs of
- 7 the treatment plan, which summarizes each individual field
- 8 with the different energies. So we have different energies
- 9 of radiation, so the field summary will say, okay, we're
- 10 using this energy of photons or this energy of electrons.
- 11 It will also specify if we have any blocking. It'll
- 12 specify depths, with corrections, depending on how the --
- 13 what the radiation beam is going through, if it's going
- 14 through the lung, or if it's also going through bones.
- 15 There's some corrections that it does.
- 16 And then -- then it also shows you a monitoring
- 17 unit. That's the amount of time that the machine is on.
- 18 So that's the treatment summary, so that each treatment
- 19 summary has one of those for each one of the fields. The
- 20 patient can have one field, they can have six fields, they
- 21 can have nine fields. They can have multiple fields.

- 1 A The quality assurance? Yeah. It's a --
 - 2 basically, as a dosimetrist, we will do what we call like a
 - 3 mock patient or phantom with our quality assurance
 - 4 software. That basically assimilates the treatment plan
 - 5 and we, you know, basically send it over to the treatment
 - 6 machine. Our therapists actually run the beam like we're
 - 7 actually treating the patient, and then once it's run, our
 - 8 medical physicists will review -- review to see if what we
 - 9 planned, what we predicted matches really what is the
 - 10 output. So then we --
 - 11 Q Okay. So the dosimetrist -- does the dosimetrist
 - 12 enter the information into the QA, or does the radiation --
 - 13 does the physicist do that?
 - 14 A We don't -- neither one of us enters information.
 - 15 Basically, we create. The dosimetrist creates the
 - 16 verification plan, which goes to the treatment machine.
 - 17 They deliver the dose, and then it comes back to the
 - 18 physicist to review, and he really doesn't enter any data.
 - 19 He just reviews isotope line, kind of the fluence mapping
 - 20 of the dose, and then, you know, if it's approved, if it
 - 21 passes or fails. Obviously, if it fails, then, you know,

12

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 5 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 5

14 16 1 it comes right back to me so that we can determine, why did Q They're all medical records. And, in terms of, 2 of this terminology as well, do you refer to these medical Q And that would be essentially where the radiation 3 records being entered into a charting system? 4 was, outside of the area or volume that it was supposed to A We have ARIA. Varian ARIA is our technical 5 be? 5 electronic record here at St. Mary's. So, yes, it's housed A Well, it could be a number of different things. 6 within the technical EHR. 6 7 I mean, it could be a small little pixel, a hot spot that Q Okay. And that's what people would refer to as a 8 we have to smooth. 8 charting system? 9 Q Okav. 9 A Correct; yes. 10 A Sometimes really complex, modulated fields are 10 Q Okay. And how many charting systems are used? 11 pretty tough to get past QA, because they're so heavily A We have our technical, in radiation oncology, and 11 12 modulated. But we always troubleshoot. We troubleshoot 12 then our physician uses, for like follow-ups and consults 13 until we get a, you know, passing field. 13 and prescriptions, a system called Cattails, M.D. Q Okay. And you said that the part -- that the 14 Q Can you spell that, please? 15 part that the dosimetrist would do is the verification 15 A Sure. C-a-t-t-i-a-l-s, M, capital MD. 16 plan? 16 Q Okay. So that's C-a-t-t-i-a-l-s? 17 A Yeah. Basically, you have the treatment plan 17 A No. Actually it's a-i. It's C-a-t-t-a-i-l-s. 18 that's ready for the patient. Basically making that plan, 18 Thank you. 19 you're making a copy of it, and you're calling it a 19 Q No problem. And the other one, A-R-I-A, is that 20 ARIA? 20 verification plan that goes into this other software. 21 Q Okay. So the name of that other software is 21 A Correct, yes. All capital. 15 17 1 what? Q And how long have these been in use? A Well, it's just another module of our Varian A We've had ARIA at least, probably 12 or 13 years. 2 3 software, so --Okay. 3 Q Okay. 4 A And Cattails, I think that we installed Cattails 5 in 2009. 5 A I have --6 Q You call it Varian software; is that right? 6 Q Okay. So in the -- when we're talking about 7 7 these notes that are part of these medical records, the one 8 Q Okay. And so you're saying that you copy and 8 that you started talking about in your treatment plan, in 9 paste information from the treatment plan into the 9 the beginning of your description -- and we're talking 10 about what part of this is the dosimetrist's --10 software, Varian, into the Varian software? A Yeah. It's not really copy and pasting, but you 11 11 A Mm-hmm. 12 right mouse and you take create verification plan, and it Q -- dosimetrist's job, you had mentioned PDF in 13 automatically copies it, essentially, yes. 13 the treatment plan? 14 Q Oh, okay. You said create what? 14 15 A It creates a verification plan. 15 Q So is that the Cattails, or is that ARIA? 16 A It's ARIA. 16 Q But what appears when you right click? 17 A You just say create verification plan. 17 Q Okay. And what -- what's used something to 18 Q Create verification plan. Okay. Then you --18 describe those PDFs? 19 regarding these records. And, is it safe to say that these 19 A It's really -- they're screen shots of the

21

20 treatment plan, essentially.

Q Okay.

20 are -- these are -- we'll refer to them as medical records?

A They are medical records; correct.

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 2 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION	•
CONDUCTE	O ON 6/13/2016 6
18	

- 1 A So if you -- they're images, CT images, with dose
- 2 on them, essentially.
- 3 Q Okay. CT images with dose. And how are those
- 4 generated?
- 5 A On our treatment planning computer, which also is
- 6 Varian, it's called Eclipse, once we review the treatment
- 7 plan with the physician, and they say yep, you know, we
- 8 like it, we like this plan, then we'll actually go through
- 9 and do some screen shots at different slices of the images.
- 10 And we take those screen shots and then put them on a PDF
- 11 just as a kind of summary.
- 12 We always keep the whole entire treatment plan if
- 13 anyone would want to look at the entire thing for every
- 14 single slice. So we'll just put together a summary,
- 15 essentially.
- 16 Q I see. So you -- and then -- so the role, roles
- 17 of the dosimetrist is to determine which slices of the CT
- 18 scan are going to be used and then cut, and then you create
- 19 that PDF of that. And then the other thing that's done is
- 20 the right clicking on the -- on the mouse in the treatment
- 21 plan to copy the treatment plan into the Varian software?

- 1 basically I'm accountable for the day-to-day operations.
 - 2 So, you know, essentially, I have, well, at that time,
 - 3 probably about eight associates reporting to me, which

21

- 4 include radiation therapists, medical physicists, cancer
- 5 registry, front desk staff, and a nurse.
- 6 So in the big picture of management, I mean, I'm
- 7 accountable for the budget, both operational and capital.
- 8 Also, you know, troubleshooting, day-to-day operations.
- 9 In this case with locums, I work with the locum
- 10 -- work with our provider recruitment to get our locum
- 11 needs, and then kind of orchestrate the schedule as locums
- 12 come and go. When a locum comes, I go through, you know,
- 13 kind of the software that we have, and most of the time,
- 14 the provider that's been here knows the ARIA software. The
- 15 other software, the Cattails MD, has formal training that's
- 16 done by Cattail certified trainers. That's pretty much it.
- 17 I supervised the staff.
- 18 Q Okay. And the -- the radiation therapists, you
- 19 mentioned the eight associates, the radiation therapists.
- 20 Is that the doctor, is that a different?
- 21 A Way back, you know, way back in the early part of

- Q Okay. So other than those two things, what are
- 3 -- do those two things accurately capture the job of the
- 4 dosimetrist?

A Mm-hmm, yes.

- 5 A Yes; that's correct. As far as the documents go;
- 6 yes.

1

- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A Would you like me to talk about the management
- 9 part?
- 10 Q Yeah. Let me just -- you were using ARIA in
- 11 2011; correct?
- 12 A Correct, yes.
- 13 Q Does it have a particular version number that
- 14 you're aware of?
- 15 A I don't know what the version is.
- 16 Q Okay. Do you know what the version number was in
- 17 2011?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Okay. Then yes. So now let's talk about the
- 20 part, the manager of the radiation oncology.
- 21 A So, as the manager of radiation oncology,

1 when radiation started to be used for cancer, you're right,

- 2 doctors were called radiation therapists. But now they're
- 3 radiation oncologists, and physicians, radiation
- 4 oncologists. A radiation therapist is actually a technical
- 5 associate that delivers the radiation dose each day.
- 6 Q Okay. Like you were saying, the person that
- 7 actually runs the machine?
- 8 A Correct; yes.
- 9 Q Is the -- so there's another phrase, lingo that's
- 10 used, which is allied health professional. You're familiar
- 11 with that phrase?
- 12 A I guess. I don't know if I know that exact
- 13 definition.

- 14 Q Okay. It's -- does it -- does it refer to
- 15 positions within a hospital which are, you know, assisting
- 16 physicians? Is that, generally speaking, what you
- 17 understand it to be?
- 18 A I guess I'm not familiar enough with that
- 19 terminology to say. I've heard that terminology. I don't
- 20 know exactly what that means.
- 21 Q Okay. Have you ever -- are you familiar at all

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 7 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 7

22 24 1 with the bylaws of the medical staff of St. Mary's Hospital 1 together? 2 of Rhinelander, Wisconsin? A It's -- correct, yes. It's chemotherapy. Q And so, in your particular institution, radiation A No, I am not. Q And -- and are you aware that the St. Mary's 4 oncology is not a -- does not come under the umbrella of 5 Hospital of Rhinelander, Wisconsin has bylaws of the 5 radiology. It comes under the umbrella of cancer services? 6 medical staff? A Correct. 7 A I do. I've heard that in various meetings. Q Does a radiology -- is radiology it's own Q So what -- what else do you know about it other 8 service? A Yes. 9 than it's existence? 9 10 A Nothing. I couldn't tell you what was in it. 10 Q All right. So what is that -- what does that 11 Nothing. 11 mean though? As a regional director, you kind of talked 12 Q Okay. So based upon the description that you 12 about how, in the other position as the manager of 13 gave about the eight associates that report to you, is it 13 radiation oncology, you have these -- these associates that 14 correct to say that you do not supervise physicians? 14 report to you. You had work related to the budget, to 15 A No, I do not. 15 operations? 16 Q Is it correct that --16 A Yep. 17 A Oh, it is correct to say that I do not supervise 17 Q What about now as the regional director? 18 physicians. 18 A The same thing, but it's just expanded to also Q Okay. And would you be able to say that the 19 include medical oncology. And then I'll have more of a 19 20 role of developing services, service lines. 20 allied health professionals, as a, you know, category of 21 staff members, would include radiation therapists, nurses, 21 To be accredited under the Commission on Cancer 23 25 1 is another thing that I'm tasked with. The marketing 1 dosimetrists, physicists, et cetera? A Yes. 2 components. Since I'm regional, right now, in the north, 2 3 we're the only cancer center, so perhaps I'd be responsible Q Okay. But that physicians or MDs, doctors if you 4 will, are not allied health professionals? 4 for those satellites. 5 A Correct. Based on what you explained, yes; Q Okay. 6 correct. A And then within Ministry Healthcare, we have Q Regarding -- now, regarding your current position 7 other regions that have the same directors as myself, and 8 as the regional director of cancer services, what, you 8 I'm responsible to work with them closely so that we can 9 know, what is your job now? 9 standardize and collaborate. A My job now, as of December, we took over medical Q Okay. All right. So now what, you know, 11 normally we would have documents that we would go over, and 11 oncology services as well, so I'm responsible for radiation 12 and medical oncology at the St. Mary's campus. 12 I would hand you a copy of the documents, and we would 13 I no longer -- I am a medical dosimetrist, or 13 identify it and provide it an exhibit number. But you're, 14 certified medical dosimetrist, however, I'm no longer 14 you know, you're not really going to be able to see them, 15 working in medical dosimetry, because I've taken on the 15 so what I'm going to try to do is just describe them --16 medical oncology component. So essentially, I'm 16 A Okay. 17 responsible for the whole cancer center, instead of just Q And you, you know, just try to confirm that you 18 half. 18 know what document I'm talking about, and then we'll talk 19 Q Okay. So the medical oncology, for purposes of 19 about it, okay? 20 understanding of what you're talking about, there is 20 A Okay. Sounds good.

21

Q Okay. So the main document here is what was

21 essentially, it's chemotherapy and radiation therapy

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 8 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 8

26 28 1 identification.) 1 originally provided to us as three pages. And they're kind 2 of, you can almost see them as not together or related, but And the other part, as the two pages, Im going to 3 they kind of, they appeared to be in the sense that they 3 mark that as Exhibit 2. Which page, is there a first page 4 were together in a group. And they all say -- they all 4 and a second page? 5 have the same logo in the upper left hand corner, and they 5 (Whereupon, Exhibit Number 2 was marked for 6 all say, verification of hospital privileges and clinical 6 identification.) 7 reference in the upper right hand corner as well. 7 A I guess I would call the first page the one with A Yes. 8 the provider name on top. Q The -- let me just go through the three pages. Q Okay. Is that because this is one document? 10 The first page says, provider Mohammed Hussain, M.D., 10 A Yes. I belive so, yes. I would call this one 11 privileges listed below are those held at the time this 11 document. 12 provider left our facility, and then it says radiation Q Okay. So the two pages are one document. I'm 13 oncology. And then there's a chart that says a description 13 going to say Exhibit 2, and Page 1 is the one that you 14 of, I guess types of privileges, and then a column of 14 said, where it provides the provider name at the top. And 15 approved, and then the column for notes. Are you familiar 15 then Page 2 I'm marking as the -- the second page. 16 with that page? 16 A Okay. 17 A I'm looking at the first page, and I see the 17 Q So, therefore, we've sort of identified this 18 provider name, affiliation date. And then I see --18 document and we're going to ask you questions about it. 19 Q So it's not that one. That's from a different 19 A Okay. 20 20 page. Q The first page, it says -- there's a signature 21 A Okay. The other page is, it says while on staff 21 there for a Jenny Brown or Jerry Brown, medical staff 27 29 1 with disciplinary or corrective action taken. 1 coordinator. Can you tell us who that is, please? A Yes. Jenny Brown works within our credentialing Q Not that page. A Okay, I guess third one. Here we go. It says 3 department here at St. Mary's. So we get a new provider, a 4 privileges listed below are those held at the time provider 4 new locum physician, she prepares all the credentialing. 5 left the facility. Okay. I've got it in front of me. 5 Q Okay. Q So now, are both of these three pages that we A And that's really all the interaction I have with 7 just identified, you identified -- so you identified two 7 her, based on when there's a new provider. 8 pages, and now it's the third one. Q Okay. Now this signature of hers was dated 9 Are these three pages, are these part of one 9 January 9, 2015. Why is it that this is being generated at 10 this date and time when Dr. Hussain had already had his 10 document, or do they all sort of exist as separate 11 privileges approved two years prior? Do you see the 11 documents within your system somewhere? A I don't know about this last, this one that you 12 affiliation dates there? 13 were talking about specifically. I believe when I filled 13 A Yes. Yes. And I have an answer for that. 14 out this verification of hospital privileges, I believe 14 Q Okay. 15 this is one document, the two pages together. But this 15 A So following Dr. Hussain's last time here, March 16 third one, with the typed Y, I'm not certain if that was 16 2013, I continued to receive voicemail messages, as well as 17 behind it or not. 17 letters from different facilities that he was applying for Q Okay. So let's do this then. This third page 18 credentialing at, and work at. It's just ongoing. And I 19 with the typed Y, I'm going to mark that for our records 19 didn't want to receive any of those questionnaires anymore 20 here as Exhibit 1. 20 or phone calls anymore. 21 21 (Whereupon, Exhibit Number 1 was marked for So I had asked Jenny, you know, what can I do to,

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016

30

1 you know, make this stop. And so she gave me this form to

- 2 fill out. At the same time, we had just hired a permit
- 3 radiation oncologist, so there was no need to have locums
- 4 anymore. So we filled this -- I filled this letter out for
- 5 her files.
- 6 Q Okay. Why didn't you want to receive any -- what
- 7 do you call them, the requests for employment verification,
- 8 or, what word or phrase would you use to describe them?
- A I think they're called employment verifications.
- 10 Q Employment verifications? Okay. Why didn't you
- 11 want to receive them anymore?
- 12 A Because I -- I didn't want to recommend the
- 13 physician.
- 14 Q Do you keep records of the institutions
- 15 employers, etcetera that had sent employment verifications?
- 16
- 17 Q Does somebody else keep records of those?
- 18 A Maybe Jenny does. I do not know.
- 19 Q And why did you not want to recommend Dr.
- 20 Hussain?
- 21 A Based on our experience here, I had, over all, we

1 you don't recall them?

- A Depending on what time she came in, one could
- 3 have been vague and one could have been specific. I don't

32

33

- 4 recall.
- 5 Q Were there any other associates who said
- 6 anything?
- A I don't recall. I just know for sure Julie had
- 8 some concerns.
- Q And is that it? It is it fair to say that the
- 10 reason why you did not want to recommend Dr. Hussain was
- 11 because Julie had some concerns?
- A Along with myself, as a medical dosimetrist, I
- 13 felt that as well.
- 14 Q All right. So what about your concerns? Do you
- 15 -- can you provide details regarding those?
- A I had difficulty connecting and building
- 17 confidence with the provider.
- 18 Q Are you able to provide any specific details
- 19 regarding that?
- 20 A No, I can't. I don't recall.
- 21 Q So you don't recall what happened to cause you

1 had difficulty connecting and building confidence with his

- 2 care. My -- I had associates approach me during the time
- 3 he was here and afterwards. There seemed to be some
- 4 troubles with use of technology and language that were
- 5 maybe some barriers for us.
- Q And what are the names of those associates?
- 7 A Okay. I'll write them down as I go. So there's
- 8 Julie Vilisch, V-i-l-i-s-c-h.
- Q Okay. Let's just go through them one by one.
- 10 And what did Julie say?
- 11 A I do not remember.
- 12 Q What is her job?
- 13 A An RN, a nurse.
- 14 Q What, generally speaking, did she say?
- 15 A I don't exactly what she said.
- Q Not exactly, just generally what did she say? 16
- 17 A She had an overall concern for our patients. I
- 18 can't recall specifically. I don't have it written down.
- 19 Q Is it fair to say that she did not provide any
- 20 specific details regarding her concerns, that she gave a
- 21 vague description, or she did provide specific details, but

- 1 concern about the difficulty connecting?
- A No, I do not recall.
- Q So is it fair to say that the reason that you did
- 4 not want to recommend Dr. Hussain was because both you and
- 5 Julie had concerns about the difficulty connecting with
- 6 him?

- A Yes. And confidence, gaining confidence with his
- 8 patient care.
- Q Okay. Let's break those down into two separate
- 10 things then. What is -- what do you mean by difficulty
- 11 connecting with him?
- A I don't know if it was a language barrier, you
- 13 know, maybe connecting is a bad word, you know, it's just
- 14 when you work with a physician, you want to have confidence
- 15 and develop trust, and we had difficulty establishing that.
- Q All right. So then let's go focus in on the
- 17 second one, building confidence and establishing trust.
- 18
- 19 Q What -- what is it that -- what happened in order
- 20 for there to be that?
- A In general, you know, you establish a

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 10 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 10

		_	
	34		3
1	cohesiveness of having, you know, good decision, no	1	1 A She is Caucasian, white.
	indecision, you practice, you know, radiation oncology	2	2 Q And what religion are you?
3	guidelines. As you do the work with the provider, you just	3	3 A I am Catholic.
	feel that connection and that confidence that they're	4	4 Q And are you from the United States of America?
5	providing good care to your patients. The way they ask	5	
	questions for locums, the way they're coming and going, a	6	
	lot of times the locums will have a lot of questions.	7	
	Because, you know, they're just walking in on a new case,		8 might have changed to Lutheran, I'm not sure.
	so they're asking questions, what kind of questions are	9	
	they asking? That's really how you start building your	1	10 born in the United States of America?
	confidence.	11	
		112	•
12	Q And am I correct though that you do not remember	1	
	the specific details regarding that component of your	13	•
	concern?	1	14 the language barrier or not. What's the reason
15	A You are correct. I do not remember the details.	15	ů ů
16	Q Are any of the medical records going to reflect	1	16 him.
	any of the concern? So, for example, a patient who he saw	17	, 60 6 6
	had a treatment plan, and then the treatment plan had to be	1	18 but not being sure whether or not there was a language
19	modified or changed after he left because it wasn't	19	19 barrier or not?
20	correct?	20	20 A I'm not sure as to whether that was why we had
21	A I don't know. I do recall us pushing off things	21	21 difficulty connecting or gaining confidence.
	35		3
1	for the next week for the next locum who was established	1	
		1	1 Q Why are you not sure about that?
2	for the next week for the next locum who was established	1 2	1 Q Why are you not sure about that?
3	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you.	1 2	 Q Why are you not sure about that? A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component of that or not.
2	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know,	1 2 3 4	 Q Why are you not sure about that? A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component of that or not. Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you
2 3 4 5	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue?	1 2 3 4 5	 1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component?
2 3 4 5 6	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No.	1 2 3 4 5 6	 1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand
2 3 4 5 6 7	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Why are you not sure about that? A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component of that or not. Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you think it might have been a component? A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q Why are you not sure about that? A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component of that or not. Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you think it might have been a component? A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier that makes you think it might not have been an issue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone had to go back and change something that he did so that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I 16 don't know. I wish I could remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone had to go back and change something that he did so that there would be no bad outcomes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I 16 don't know. I wish I could remember. 17 Q Well, let's go through it then, to get some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone had to go back and change something that he did so that there would be no bad outcomes. A I don't know that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I 16 don't know. I wish I could remember. 17 Q Well, let's go through it then, to get some 18 details, and then maybe some of this will refresh your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone had to go back and change something that he did so that there would be no bad outcomes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I 16 don't know. I wish I could remember. 17 Q Well, let's go through it then, to get some 18 details, and then maybe some of this will refresh your 19 memory.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for the next week for the next locum who was established here and who we had trust with. I don't know if there are existing records that would show that for you. Q Well do you recall any specific, you know, patients who had a particular issue? A No. Q Do you recall that there, in fact, were not any patients with specific issues with his regarding his what's the word for it? What would you use for the word for his his A Are you talking about lack of confidence? Q You mentioned patient care. A I don't I don't recall any bad outcomes. There's nothing reported. I don't have any. Q But not just bad outcomes, but, you know, someone had to go back and change something that he did so that there would be no bad outcomes. A I don't know that.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1 Q Why are you not sure about that? 2 A I'm just I'm not sure if that was a component 3 of that or not. 4 Q Okay. So what is it about it that makes you 5 think it might have been a component? 6 A If I recall, sometimes it was hard to understand 7 him and vice versa, hard for him to understand us. 8 Q Okay. And what is it about the language barrier 9 that makes you think it might not have been an issue? 10 A It's difficult to put your finger on it when 11 you're trying to establish confidence with someone. 12 Q And so what other types of things might it be if 13 it wasn't that? 14 A Potentially it could be just different ways of 15 doing things, different ways we hadn't seen before. I 16 don't know. I wish I could remember. 17 Q Well, let's go through it then, to get some 18 details, and then maybe some of this will refresh your 19 memory.

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND **CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016**

38 40 A I remember meeting him. I must have met him that 1 was here in two times in 2011. I thought it was just once. 2 first time, yes. Q Okay. So -- and then -- but he was there that 3 second time in July 2011 --Q Okay. And that was in June of 2011. Did you --

4 I mean, I guess you wouldn't remember off the top of your 4

5 head, but would it -- I mean, would it surprise you that it

6 was June 13th to 17th that was his first assignment there?

A No. It wouldn't surprise me. During that time

8 we had another full-time radonc. So he would have been

9 covering for a stint of his detation (sic).

Q Okay. And one of the things that I noticed was

11 that his first assignment predated the affiliation dates on

12 the, what we're calling Exhibit Number 2, Page Number 1.

13 Is -- does that surprise you that he was -- well, let me

14 just --

15 A I--

16 Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.

A I was going to say I see what you are looking at.

18 I didn't write those affiliation dates on there. It was me

19 that actually looked back to see when Dr. Hussain was here.

20 So I did supply those June 2011, 13th through the 17th

21 dates. That's what our records show.

A Correct.

Q From the 18th to the 22nd. Is this -- you

6 wouldn't be surprised to find that your records show him

7 there at that time?

A Correct, right.

Q So when you saw him the second time in July, were

10 you already concerned by that point?

11 A I don't recall.

Q And then the third assignment was March 2013, and

13 do you recall whether or not you were concerned by that

14 point when you saw him and he came back?

A Yes. I remember being concerned, but again, I

16 can't tell you the details or be specific.

Q Okay. Let's say that -- well let me -- let me

18 ask you this. You mentioned you had gotten, since the last

19 time he was there in 2013, you had received many employment

41

20 verifications, employment verifications from other

21 employers, other hospitals. What did you say in those

Q Okay. So in the affiliation date, is that

2 supposed to indicate when he had privileges at the

3 hospital?

A I would assume so. That would be my guess. I

5 don't know for sure.

Q Okay. Would Jenny know the answer to what the

7 affiliation dates mean?

A Yes.

9 Q And so the first -- the first assignment he's

10 there covering for the other doctor and you met him. What

11 do you recall about that?

A Locums for the provider vacations were pretty

13 common for us, so I would have imagined that we had

14 Cattails training set up for him, got him or oriented to

15 ARIA and our process. And his very first day was a Monday,

16 and it was on treatment visit day, so I would say he

17 probably jumped in and started doing our treatment visits.

Q Okay. Do you recall that he was then out to come 18

19 back for another assignment?

A I guess I didn't realize here 2000, 2011 until I 20

21 was recently asked to look. So I hadn't remembered that he

1 about Dr. Hussain?

39

A I tried to avoid them. I tried to not answer any

3 of the voice mails. I tried to divert all of the

4 questionnaires over to Jenny Brown. I didn't want to fill

5 them out.

Q Okay. And do you know -- did Jenny talk to you

7 about why you were routing all this stuff to her?

A Yes. And we had conversations in the past and

9 that I'm not a radiation oncologist. I'm not a true peer,

10 but those continued to come to me, and then she had me fill

11 out this document, this Exhibit 2.

Q Okay. Oh, so you were the one who wrote the X's

13 in the columns on Page 1 there?

14 A Correct.

15 Q Okay. And is it then the case that those prior

16 employment verifications, they, in fact, never got

17 responded to?

A I would say all of them up until this form. And

19 I don't know if Jenny sent it out anywhere. I'm thinking

20 they probably did, since you have a copy.

Q Okay. I'm trying to figure out why you -- why

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016

1 you would not want to fill out the form if you had these A No. No, I don't.

- 2 concerns. Can you try to explain that? Do you know why
- 3 you would have the concerns but not want to talk about it

42

- 4 or publicize it?
 - A No. Nope. That's -- it's always easier to give
- 6 praise than do the other way around. Did I want to tell
- 7 other places, absolutely. I guess that's a good question,
- 8 that I can't answer.
- Q Is it possible that it's because your evaluation
- 10 was really more personal in nature than it was having to do
- 11 with whether or not he was a good doctor?
- A I would say I'm not a radiation oncologist. So I
- 13 would say that I wouldn't have that expertise. Nor am I a
- 14 medical physician.
- 15 I wouldn't say it was personal, as I had other
- 16 associates come to me, specifically Julie.
- 17 Q Was there anybody other than Julie?
- 18 A I can't tell you for sure as far as our radiation
- 19 therapists. I do recall a disagreement with one our
- 20 medical oncologists.
- 21 Q And what is that person's name?

- Q All right. And then your comments in the
- 3 comments section on Page 2, the part that sort of -- the

44

45

- 4 follow-on part after the signature --
- A Yes.
- Q -- where it says, we delayed starts of treatments
- 7 so we could have the next radiation covering plan, the
- 8 radiation plan, the radiation --
- 9 A Yes, appropriately.
- 10 Q Yeah. Covering the plan -- covering. This is
- 11 supposed to say -- can you say that part there, read that
- 12 part there?
- 13 A Sure. Should I read that paragraph?
- 14 Q Yeah.

43

- 15 A Okay. "I can say as the medical dosimetrist,
- 16 working side by side with him, we delayed starts of
- 17 treatments so we could have the next radonc covering plan
- 18 the patients appropriately. I would not have this provider
- 19 come back even if we were in dire need."
- 20 Q Okay. So the part about having the next radonc
- 21 covering plan the patients appropriately, you said already,

A Steven Toothachre. 1

- Q Okay. What do you remember about that?
- A I don't. I don't remember any details. I just
- 4 remember Dr. Toothachre being very frustrated with a
- 5 decision that Dr. Hussain made in the hallway. I don't
- 6 recall what it was about, who the patient was.
- Q Okay. So going over this evaluation form,
- 8 Exhibit 2, the first row for which you had put an "x" for
- 9 below average, that's availability and thoroughness in
- 10 patient care. What, if anything, supports your ranking at
- 11 below average.
- 12 A I don't recall.
- 13 Q Can you say that's going to be the same answer
- 14 for all of these that indicated below average?
- 15 A Absolutely. I don't recall.
- Q And does that go for all -- is there anything 16
- 17 that you remember at all about any of these, even the ones
- 18 that say average or -- let's not do no info, because I
- 19 guess you would know that just by inference, but do you
- 20 remember anything about why you had marked average about
- 21 anything you had marked average for?

- 1 you testified you don't remember anything -- no -- you said
 - 2 that there are no records that indicate something that was
 - 3 not planned appropriately?
 - A Right. Nothing that I recall.
 - Q Who would be the appropriate person to review
 - 6 those records to determine whether or not something has
 - 7 been not planned appropriately?
 - A Probably a radiation oncologist.
 - Q Okay. Do you remember the name of the radonc
 - 10 that came on after Dr. Hussain?
 - 11 A I don't.
 - 12 Q I'm wondering what -- when you said that your
 - 13 concerns weren't personal in nature, I'm just trying to
 - 14 figure out why, you know, if it wasn't just personal in
 - 15 nature, wouldn't there have been some sort of thing that
 - 16 you would do to notify some sort of office of risk
 - 17 management or, you know, some sort of body to say that we
 - 18 have this physician here, and we're not, you know, we never
 - 19 connected with this person and did not have confidence or
 - 20 trust in their treatment?
 - A So during those last, since he was here in 2013,

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 13 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND

CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 13

48

49

46 1 we had locums, different locums, nearly every week, every 1 Medical directors fill this type of thing out. 2 other week, so in scenarios with the different locums, we Q Jenny asked you to fill this out, the one that 3 essentially, we would, as a team, get through the week 3 we're talking about, Exhibit Number 2? 4 until that locum was gone. You know, kind of push things 4 A Correct, yes. 5 to the next week if we didn't have a comfort level that we Q So this is the only time you have ever been asked 6 had established with the care. 6 to fill something like this out? 7 7 A Yes. Looking back and going forward, I absolutely 8 would call risk. But on this case, I did not. Yeah, all I Q And so your answer to the question as to whether 9 have is what I wrote. I don't have any details. 9 or not this is part of privilege and you're saying, I don't Q And that office of risk, are you referring to the 10 know, because I've never been asked to fill this out except 11 hospital office of risk management? 11 for the one time that I was asked to? 12 A Yes, exactly. A Right. Because we've credentialed many, many 13 Q Let me -- I'm just going -- I'm not sure if I 13 locums. 14 know how to put this phone on mute, so I'm just going to 14 Q Okay. 15 step out of the room for a brief minute to talk to Dr. 15 A In the past. 16 Hussain and I'll be right back, okay? 16 Q And what do you -- what do you know about the 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 privileging committees and how a physician is privileged? 18 MR. KING: Okay. Thank you. 18 A I have no idea. 19 (Mr. King exits the room.) Q Do you know who the chairman of the medical 20 BY MR. KING: 20 services is? 21 Q Were you aware of a fourth assignment that the A I don't. 47 1 hospital wanted Dr. Hussain for in April? It would have Q Do you know who the chairman of the credentials 2 been April 8th to April 12th, in 2013. Would you be aware 2 committee is? 3 of that, in the sense that you would be having a role in A I don't. 3 4 communicating with comp health about, we have this need for 4 Q Do you know who the medical staff president is? 5 locums, and this is the date, et cetera? 5 A I'm not for certain. 6 Q Do you know who the president of the hospital is? A I would communicate any needs to our provider 7 recruiter and she would work with comp house. I did not 7 A Yes, I do. Q And who is that? 8 work with comp house directly. I don't know if we 8 9 requested that or not. 9 Do you want the one present? 10 Q And who -- what is the name of the provider Q Yes, please. 11 recruiter that would have been in the early 2013 time 11 Sandy Anderson. 12 period? 12 Q Okay. And who was the president when Dr. Hussain 13 A It's -- well, it's Holly Anderson for 2013. 13 was there? 14 Q Okay. Are you part of the privileging committees 14 A It would have been Monica Hilt. 15 at the hospital? 15 Okay. And do you know who Anne Zenk is? 16 A Oh, no. 16 A Yes. She is our VP of nursing. 17 Q So do you see that your filling out of this 17 Q Okay. And do you know who Marsha Wickham is? 18 Exhibit Number 2, this verification of hospital privileges 18 A Yes. She is the nursing director on the floor.

19

20

21 IT.

Q And do you know who Jean Stepids is?

A I believe Jean is retired now and she works for

19 and clinical reference, do you see that as being part of

A I don't recall ever being asked to fill this out.

20 the privileging process of the hospital?

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 14 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 14

	50	5.
1 Q And do you know Paula Zelechowski?		1 another locum in the future.
2 A Paula is either manager or supervisor of		2 Q Well this say this is a little bit different
3 transcription.		3 than that, right. I mean, the issue didn't end up being
4 Q And Karen Wiedeman?		4 well, we're just not going to hire him back. You you
5 A Karen Wiedeman?		5 maybe you could explain it. It wasn't just not hiring him
6 Q Karen Wiedeman.		6 back, right?
7 A I think her title is infection control.		7 A No. I think it was simple as that.
8 Q And Kathy Jensen?		8 Q But it's it involves a dispute that would
9 A She used to work in employee health.		9 normally be handled through some, you know, steps,
10 Q And Tracy Litzen?	,	10 procedures that you mentioned; correct?
11 A Tracy works in the business office.		11 A What do you mean by a dispute?
12 Q So let's go through Exhibit Number 2 again. And		12 Q A dispute about whether or not the person's
13 the second row, for which there's a below average marked,		13 performance was satisfactory or sufficient.
14 it says it's for the row medical knowledge?		14 A So you're saying I should have brought that to,
15 A Mm-hmm.		15 really, our quality department to address?
16 Q Why shouldn't Dr. Hussain have had an opportunity		16 Q Well I'm just trying to flush out your answer to
17 to address whatever issues regarding his medical knowledge		17 the question about, you know, why wasn't he given an
18 prior to you providing your opinion like this?		18 opportunity to address any of this before you provided your
19 A Like I told you before, we had difficultly		19 opinion about all of it. And you had mentioned the fact
20 building confidence in care of the patient.	- 1.	20 that, you know, well we have a way of dealing with staff,
21 Q And so the question is, you know, based upon the		21 and then we have a way of dealing with locums. And I'm
		, .
	51	5
1 fact that you had difficulty connecting	51	1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how
fact that you had difficulty connecting A Or building confidence.	51	
	51	1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how
2 A Or building confidence.	51	1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how2 those two things are different.
2 A Or building confidence.3 Q Building confidence.	51	 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how those two things are different. A Mm-hmm.
 2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 	51	 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how those two things are different. A Mm-hmm. Q So the and you had mentioned the locum
 2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 	51	 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how those two things are different. A Mm-hmm. Q So the and you had mentioned the locum scenario is that you just don't hire the person back;
 2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 	51	 1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct?
 2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 	51	 1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes.
 2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 		 1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it.		 1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right?
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to		 1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him.
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it?		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so.		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have 14 concerns about other about connecting or building trust		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would 14 essentially result in the denial of their privileges?
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have 14 concerns about other about connecting or building trust 15 with other individuals? Are those type of things just deal		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would 14 essentially result in the denial of their privileges? 15 A This is the only one that I've ever filled out.
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have 14 concerns about other about connecting or building trust 15 with other individuals? Are those type of things just deal 16 breakers from the outset, and there's just, you know, you		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would 14 essentially result in the denial of their privileges? 15 A This is the only one that I've ever filled out. 16 Q Okay. So it's correct then, or it's fair to say
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have 14 concerns about other about connecting or building trust 15 with other individuals? Are those type of things just deal 16 breakers from the outset, and there's just, you know, you 17 just fire a person, or is there some sort of manner by		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would 14 essentially result in the denial of their privileges? 15 A This is the only one that I've ever filled out. 16 Q Okay. So it's correct then, or it's fair to say 17 that this scenario was not the scenario by which you would
2 A Or building confidence. 3 Q Building confidence. 4 A Mm-hmm. 5 Q Why does that mean that he should not be allowed 6 to address an opinion that his medical knowledge is below 7 average, at least in your opinion? 8 A I guess if he was given the opportunity he 9 probably could address it. 10 Q And why was he not provided an opportunity to 11 address it? 12 A I'm not aware of a way to do so. 13 Q Well what happens in a hospital when people have 14 concerns about other about connecting or building trust 15 with other individuals? Are those type of things just deal 16 breakers from the outset, and there's just, you know, you 17 just fire a person, or is there some sort of manner by 18 which people issues, discipline or whatever are managed?		1 just trying to figure out a little about, you know, how 2 those two things are different. 3 A Mm-hmm. 4 Q So the and you had mentioned the locum 5 scenario is that you just don't hire the person back; 6 correct? 7 A Essentially, yes. 8 Q But in this situation that's not what happened 9 right? 10 A After 2013 we haven't seen him. 11 Q But for every person that you just don't hire 12 back, do you provide these verification of hospital 13 privileges and clinical reference forms for them that would 14 essentially result in the denial of their privileges? 15 A This is the only one that I've ever filled out. 16 Q Okay. So it's correct then, or it's fair to say 17 that this scenario was not the scenario by which you would 18 normally deal with locums if you didn't want to hire them

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 15 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 15

	54		56
1	Q So why was this case different?	1	associates to, you know, to have a different locum every
2	A I don't recall. Obviously, I had strong feelings	2	week.
3	to write what I wrote.	3	Q But it's good in the sense that, you know, that
4	Q Okay. And, I actually was supposed to ask this	4	the department and service is still up and running and
5	at the beginning, but I guess it's fine to ask it now as	5	everybody still has a job, right?
6	part of the whole	6	A Absolutely. Absolutely.
7	A Okay.	7	Q Do you remember a Dr. Goldson?
8	Q question which is, are are you under any	8	A I do remember Dr. Goldson.
9	medications, or do you have any physical condition that	9	Q He was he was covering at Rhinelander for some
10	would prevent you from being able to answer questions	10	period of time, right?
11	accurately?	11	A We actually hired him as a physician.
12	A No. Not	12	. Q Okay.
13	Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.	13	A And then he died of a hearth attack very shortly
14	A Not that I'm aware of.	14	after.
15	Q Very good. And what about this time period of	15	Q Okay. And when was that, what year approximately
16	2011 and 2013, and I guess also in 2015, when you filled	16	was that, if you can recall?
17	out the form, were you under any medications or did you	17	A I think 2004.
18	have any physical condition at that time that affected your	18	Q Okay.
19	memory or ability to recall things?	19	A I think he started in January of 2004, and maybe
20	A No. Not that I'm aware of.	20	died in February, 2004.
21	Q Were you part of the or did you did you	21	Q Okay. And there have been, regarding Dr. Hussain
\vdash		┿	
	55		5
1	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial	1	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any
		1 2	
	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial	1 2 3	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims?
3	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital?	l	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims?
3	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no.	l	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment
2 3 4 5	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown.	3 4	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues?
2 3 4 5	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr.	3 4 5	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of.
2 3 4 5 6	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain?	3 4 5 6	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion.	3 4 5 6 7	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to	3 4 5 6 7 8	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that he did there, considering the fact that it's so hard to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is. Q It's like a clearing house of privileges.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that he did there, considering the fact that it's so hard to find types of physicians to go to such a remote area?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is. Q It's like a clearing house of privileges. A Not that I know of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that he did there, considering the fact that it's so hard to find types of physicians to go to such a remote area? A Well, I don't know if it's just because it's a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is. Q It's like a clearing house of privileges. A Not that I know of. Q I think that the might be the end of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that he did there, considering the fact that it's so hard to find types of physicians to go to such a remote area? A Well, I don't know if it's just because it's a remote area. I was thankful for every position that would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is. Q It's like a clearing house of privileges. A Not that I know of. Q I think that the might be the end of the questions. I'm just going to, again, step out into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	review or were you part of Dr. Hussain's initial application to the hospital? A That would go to with the application, no. That would all be through Jenny Brown. Q And what was Jenny Brown's opinion about Dr. Hussain? A We've never had that discussion. Q What did she what did she do, if anything, to verify your's and Julie's and Steven Toothachre's concerns about Dr. Hussain? A Nothing. Nothing I know of. Q Do you recall any, you know, any aspect of being thankful or appreciative of Dr. Hussain and the work that he did there, considering the fact that it's so hard to find types of physicians to go to such a remote area? A Well, I don't know if it's just because it's a remote area. I was thankful for every position that would come here to fill locum stints, because it was very	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or any of this patients that he saw, have there been any malpractice claims? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any Medicaid or Medicare payment issues? A Not that I know of. Q Have there been any complaints by patients? A Not that I know of or recall. Q And any issues regarding payments from any private insurance carriers? A Not that I know of. Q Okay. And any issues regarding federal, state, or national data bank? A No. I don't know what that is. Q It's like a clearing house of privileges. A Not that I know of. Q I think that the might be the end of the questions. I'm just going to, again, step out into the hallway for a quick second, and I'll be right back.

Case: 3:18-cv-00529-wmc Document #: 21 Filed: 10/15/18 Page 16 of 31 TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016 16

001100012	0	10/10/2010	
5	8		60
1 Q Thank very much for your time today.	1 1 6	BY MR. KING:	
2 A You're welcome.	2	Q The question is, what do you think Dr. Hussain's	
3 Q The structure of the hospital it terms of,	3 r	ace is?	
4 there's the Ministry St. Mary's Hospital which is, I guess	4	A I don't know. I'm not familiar	
5 the name of the institution run by Ministry Health Care?	5	Q Do you think it's white or Caucasian?	
6 A Right.	6	A No.	
7 Q Which is part of Ascention Healthcare? That's	7	Q So what category would you put it in?	
8 been the same since 2011?	8	A I don't know.	
9 A Yes, it's a part of Ministry Health Care;	9	MR. KING: Okay. That's all the questions. Ms.	
10 correct.	10	Hetland, thank you very much for your time today.	
11 Q Is there anything that you think can be provided	11	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
12 to you in terms of records, or what have you, to refresh	12	MR. MORAN: Does anybody else have any questions?	
13 your memory as to why you provided the opinion of Dr.	13	MR. TAAFFE: No questions from the U.S.	
14 Hussain that you did?	14	Attorney's Office.	
15 A No. I think it was all verbal, so no, I don't	15	(Whereupon, the deposition was concluded at 3:56	
16 think there was any records.	16	p.m.)	
17 Q Oh, Steven Toothachre, what race is he?	17	p.iii.)	
18 A I think he's Caucasian.	18		
	19		
19 Q And do you know what religion he is? 20 A No. I have no idea.			
	20		
21 Q Do you know whether or not he was born in the	21		
5	9		61
1 United States?	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	
2 A I don't know for sure.	2		
3 Q Does he speak with an accent?	3	I, Lisa Weissmann, Court Reporter and Notary	
4 A When he was here he did not.	4	Public, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and	
5 Q And what does Dr. Hussain speak with an	5	did stenographically report the deposition of Kimberly	
6 accent?	6	Hetland; that a review of the transcript was not	
7 A Yes.	7	requested; and that the foregoing transcript is a true	
8 Q And did you know anything about what religion he	8	record of my stenographic notes.	
9 is?	9	LEUDTHED CEDTIEV that I am not a valeting	
10 A No.	10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,	
11 Q What about his race? What would you say, if	12	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'	
12 anything, about what his race is?	13	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I	
13 MR. TAAFFE: This is Damon Taaffe with the U.S.	14	financially interested in the action.	
14 Attorney's Office. I'm going to object to this line of	15	,	
15 questioning, because there is no discrimination claim	16	DATED this 20th day of July, 2016.	
16 in this lawsuit. Therefore, in my view, any questions	17		
17 about religion or national origin or race are not	18		
18 relevant to the issues in this lawsuit, and they are	19	Lisa Weissmann, Court Reporter	
19 vexatious. That's the end of my objection.	20		
20 MR. KING: You can answer, ma'am.	21		
	22		
121 THE WITNESS: I'm corry what was your question?			
21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what was your question?	23		

TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF KIMBERLY HETLAND CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016

		NDUCTED ON 6/13		17
1	40:12,19	8th 47:2	50 : 17	47:13
1 4:8,14	45:21		51:6,9,11	49:11
27:20,21	47:2,11,1	9	52:15 , 18	angles 8:8
28:13	3 53:10	9 29:9	AFFAIRS 1:6	Anne 49:15
38:12	54:16		affected	
41:13	2015 29:9	A	54:18	answer 5:9
12 17:2	54:16	ability		29:13
1225 2:5	2016 1:9	54:19	affiliation 26:18	39:6 41:2 42:8
	61:16	ablation	29:12	43:13
12th 47:2	202 2:8,16	8:16	38:11,18	48:8
13 1:9 6:14	20530 2:15	able 22:19	39:1,7	52 : 16
17:2		25:14	afterwards	54:10
13th	20th 61:16	32:18	31:3	59:20
38:6,20	2251 3:4	54:10		anybody
14-1798 1:3	5 : 17		ahead 5:12	42:17
17th	22nd 40:5	absolutely 42:7	38:16 54:13	60 : 12
38:6,20		43:15		anymore
	23 4:10,14	46:7 56:6	a-i 16:17	29:19,20
18th 40:5	252-2568		align 10:18	30:4,11
	2:16	accent 59:3,6	allied	anyone
2		·	21:10	18:13
2 4:12,14	3	accountable	22:20	
28:3,5,13	3 4:10	20:1,7	23 : 4	anything
,15 38:12 41:11	10:17	accredited	allowed	9:17 32:6
43:8 44:3	3:56 60:15	24:21	51 : 5	43:10,16, 20,21
47:18	361-2000	accurately	already	45:1 55:8
48:3	3:6	19:3	29:10	58:11
50:12	3748 6:3	54:11	40:10	59:8,12
2:06 1:13		action 1:2	44:21	anywhere
2000 39:20	4	27:1	am 6:7 22:3	41:19
	4 4:4	61:13,14	23:13	apologize
20005 2:7		actually	34:12	5:21
2004	5	7:21 8:5	35 : 20	
56:17,19,	51 4:5	10:7,12	36 : 3	appeared
20		11:6	42:13	26:3
2009 17:5	54501 3:5	13:6,7	61:10,12,	appears
2011	5:19 6:5	16:17	13	15:16
19:11,17	555 2:14	18:8	America	application
37:20		21:4,7 38:19	36:4,10	55:2,3
38:3,20	7	54:4	amount	applying
39:20	715 3:6	56:11	11:17	29:17
40:1,3	779-9711	address	amounts	appreciativ
54:16	2:8	5:16,20,2	10:3,5	e 55:13
58:8		1 6:1,3	Anderson	
2013 29:16	8		Anderson	approach

31:2	21:15	away 12:8	better 9:4	cancer
appropriate	associate	57 : 20	bit 52:2	6:7,13,17
45:5	21:5		blocking	7:4,7
appropriate	51:19	B	11:11	20:4 21:1 23:8,17
ly	associates	bad 33:13		24:5,21
44:9,18,2	20:3,19	35:13,15,	body 8:12 45:17	25:3
1 45:3,7	22:13	17		
approved	24:13	bank 57:13	bones 11:14	capital 16:15,21
13:20	31:2,6	barrier	born 36:10	20:7
26:15	32:5	33:12	58:21	
29:11	42:16 56:1	36:12,14,	bread 12:4	capture
approximate		19 37:8	break 33:9	19:3
ly 56:15	assume 39:4	barriers		care 7:6,10
_	assurance	31:5	breakers	31:2 33:8
April 47:1,2	13:1,3	based 22:12	51:16	34:5
•	attached	23:5 29:7	brief 46:15	35:12
arc 8:9	7:7	30:21	brought	43:10 46:6
area 8:18	attack	50:21	52:14	50:20
14:4	56 : 13	basic 5:12	Brown 28:21	58 : 5 , 9
55:15,17			29:2 41:4	carriers
ARIA	attorney 2:13	basically 12:2	55 : 4	57:10
16:4,20	61:11,13	13:2,4,5,	Brown's	
17:2,15,1		15.2,4,5,	55:5	case 5:11
6 19:10	Attorney's	14:17,18		9:21 20:9 34:8
20:14	59:14 60:14	20:1	budget 20:7	34:8 41:15
39:15		beam 10:14		46:8 54:1
A-R-I-A	authorized	11:13	building	
16:19	61:4	13:6	31:1	category
Ascension	automatical	Beck 6:17	32:16 33:17	22:20 60:7
6:10	ly 15:13	7:4	34:10	
Ascention	availabilit		50:20	Catholic
58 : 7	y 43:9	beginning 1:13 17:9	51:2,3,14	36:3 , 7
aside 10:15	average	54:5	business	Cattail
	43:9,11,1		50:11	20:16
aspect 55:12	4,18,20,2	behalf 1:14		Cattails
	1 50:13	behind	bylaws	16:13
assignment	51:7	27 : 17	22:1,5	17:4,15
37:20	avoid 41:2	believe		20:15
38:6,11 39:9,19	aware 19:14	27:13,14	C110 2:6	39:14
40:12	22:4	49:20		C-a-t-t-a-
46:21	46:21	belive	calculation	i-l-s
	47:2	28:10	s 10:13	16:17
assimilates	51:12	best 8:9	campus	C-a-t-t-i-
13:4	54:14,20		23:12	a-1-s
assisting				

		NDOCIED ON 6/1	7,2010	19
16:15,16	12:11,13	coming 34:6	15	55:14
Caucasian	chemotherap	comments	concerns	consults
35:20	y 23:21	44:2,3	31:20	8:2 16:12
36:1	24:2	Commission	32:8,11,1	CONT 3:1
58:18	choose	24:21	4 33:5	
60:5	51:21		42:2,3	continued
cause		committee	45:13	29:16
8:15,16	Civil 1:2	49:2	51:14	41:10
32:21	claim 59:15	committees	55:9	control
center 6:17	claims 57:2	47:14 48:17	concluded	50:7
7:3,4,7	clearing	40.17	60:15	conversatio
23:17	57:15	common	condition	ns 41:8
25:3	click 15:16	39:13	54:9,18	coordinator
certain 8:3		communicate	confidence	29:1
27:16	clicking	47:6	31:1	copies
49:5	18:20	communicati	32 : 17	15:13
Certificate	clinical	ng 47:4	33:7,14,1	
4:5 61:1	26:6	_	7 34:4,11	copy 14:19
	47:19	comp	35:11	15:8,11
certified	53:13	47:4,7,8	36:21	18:21
20:16	close 12:7	complaints	37:11	25:12
23:14		57 : 7	45:19	41:20
certify	closely	complex	50 : 20	cord 8:15
61:4,10	25:8	14:10	51:2,3	corner
cetera 23:1	cohesivenes		configure	26:5,7
47:5	s 34:1	component	9:15	correct
	collaborate	10:11	configuring	7:11 9:11
chairman	25:9	12:20 23:16	9:12	10:6,21
48:19		34:13		11:1
49:1	collaborati	37:2,5	confirm	15:21
change	on 12:16	·	25 : 17	16:9,21
35:16	column	components	connected	19:5,11,1
changed	26:14,15	12:10,18	45:19	2 21:8
34:19	columns	25:2	61:13	22:14,16,
36:8	41:13	computer	connecting	17 23:5,6
chart 26:13		10:16	31:1	24:2,6
	combination	18:5	32:16	34:12,15,
charting	6:15	computers	33:1,5,11	20 40:4,8
16:3,8,10	combination	10:12	,13 36:21	41:14
check	s 9:1		51:1,14	48:4
10:14,16,	comes 13:17	concern	connection	51:20
20 12:16	14:1	31:17 33:1	34:4	52:10
checking	20:12	34:14,17		53:6,16
9:7	24:5	·	consider	58:10
	comfort	concerned	9:19	corrections
checks 9:20	46:5	40:10,13,	considering	11:12,15
10:10	10.5			

corrective	data 13:18	56:4	14:6 18:9	2
27:1	57:13		20:20	
		depending	26:19	distributio
counsel	date 26:18	11:12	29:17	n 12:1,2
1:12 4:2	29:10	32:2	37:14,15	divert 41:3
5:2,5 61:11,13	39:1 47:5	deposition	46:1,2	doctor
	dated 29:8	1:10	52:2 53:2	20:20
Court	61:16	60:15	54:1 56:1	39:10
61:3,19	dates 29:12	61:5	difficult	42:11
coverage	38:11,18,	depositions	37 : 10	doctors
9:2	21 39:7	5 : 7	55:19 , 21	21:2 23:3
covering	day 21:5	depths	difficultly	doctor's
38:9	39:15,16	11:12	50:19	9:16
39:10	61:16	describe	difficulty	
44:7,10,1	day-to-day	17:18	31:1	document
7,21 56:9	20:1,8	25:15	32:16	25:18,21
create	deal 51:15	30:8	33:1,5,10	27:10,15
13:15	53:18	description	,15 36:21	28:9,11,1 2,18
15:12,14,		7:13,17	51 : 1	41:11
17,18	dealing	17:9	dire 44:19	
18:18	52:20,21	22:12		documents
creates	December	26:13	directly	12:20 19:5
13:15	23:10	31:21	47:8	25:11 , 12
15:15	decision	desk 20:5	director	27:11
credentiale	34:1 43:5	details	6:7 , 13	
d 48:12	deemed	31:20,21	23:8	done 5:7 18:19
credentiali	10:4,5	32:15,18	24:11,17 49:18	20:16
ng	Defendant	34:13,15		
29:2,4,18	1:7 2:11	37:18	directors	dose
credentials		40:16	25:7 48:1	8:10,11,1 8
49:1	definition 21:13	43:3 46:9	disagreemen	12:2,7,8,
		detation	t 42:19	9
critical	delayed	38:9	disciplinar	13:17,20
8:11,12,1 9 9:3	44:6,16	determine	y 27:1	18:1,3
	deliver	14:1	discipline	21:5
CT 8:5 12:3	8:17,18	18:17	51:18	dosimetrist
18:1,3,17	10:7	45:6	discriminat	6:16,18,2
current	13:17	develop	ion 59:15	0,21
7:14 23:7	delivers	33:15		7:19,21
cut 18:18	8:10 21:5	developing	discussion	8:4,20
	denial	24:20	55 : 7	9:6,8,21
	53:14		displayed	11:4
D.C 2:7,15	department	died	12:3	13:2,11,1
Damon 2:12	29:3	56:13,20	dispute	5 14:15 18:17
	1	I	i	±0 • ± /
59:13	52 : 15	different	52:8,11,1	19:4

23:13,14	effect 5:10	18:12,13	except	52 : 19
32:12	EHR 16:6	entry 5:12	48:10	55:14
44:15	eight	Esquire	exhibit	fail 14:2
dosimetrist	20:3,19	2:3,12	4:8,12	failed
s 23:1	22:13	3:3	25 : 13	12:15
dosimetrist	either 50:2	essentially	27:20,21	fails 13:21
's		14:3	28:3,5,13 38:12	
17:10,12	electronic	15 : 13	41:11	fair 31:19 32:9 33:3
dosimetry	16:5	17:20	43:8	53:16
12:19	electrons	18:2,15	47 : 18	
23:15	11:10	20:2	48:3	familiar
Dr 29:10,15	else 22:8	23:16,21	50:12	21:10,18, 21 26:15
30:19	30:17	46:3	Exhibits	60:4
32:10	60:12	53 : 7 , 14	4:16	
33:4	employed	establish	exist 27:10	Faust 6:4
37:21	51:19	33:21		February
38:19	employee	37:11	existence	56:20
41:1	50:9	established	22:9	federal
43:4,5	61:11,12	35:1 46:6	existing	57 : 12
45:10	employer	establishin	35:3	feel 34:4
46:15 47:1	6:8 7:10	g	exits 46:19	
49:12		33:15,17	57 : 20	feelings
50:16	employers 30:15	et 23:1	expanded	54:2
55:1,5,10	40:21	47:5	24:18	felt 32:13
,13		etcetera		field
56:7,8,21	employment	30:15	experience 30:21	11:7,9,20
58:13	30:7,9,10			14:13
59:5 60:2	,15 40:19,20	evaluation	expertise	fields 8:9
Drive 3:4	41:16	42:9 43:7	42:13	11:19,20,
5:17		everybody	explain	21 14:10
duly 5:3	energies	56 : 5	42:2 52:5	figure
during 31:2		exact 21:12	explained	10:13
38:7	energy	exactly	23:5	41:21
45:21	11:10	21:20	Eye 2:5	45:14
duties	ensure 9:17	31:15,16		53:1
7:17,18	entails 8:7	46:12	 F	figuring
/ • ± / , ± 0	enter	examination	facilities	8:7,9
E	13:12,18	1:12 4:2	29:17	files 30:5
early 20:21	entered	5 : 2,5	facility	fill 30:2
47:11	16:3	examined	26:12	41:4,10
easier 42:5		5:4	27:5	42:1
	enters	example	fact 35:7	47:21
Eclipse	13:14	8:14	41:16	48:1,2,6,
18:6	entire	34:17	51:1	10
		_		

		IDOCTED ON 6/13	,, 2010	
55:18,20	46:7	21:12,18	21:10	21:15
		26:14	22:20	22:1,5
filled	fourth 2:14	27:3 28:7	23:4 47:4	26:6
27:13	46:21	38:4	50:9	27 : 14
30:4	Franklin	39:4,20	58:5,9	39:3
53:15,20	2:4	42:7	·	46:11
54:16	front 20:5	43:19	Healthcare	47:1,15,1
filling	27:5	51:8	6:9 25:6	8,20 49:6
6:15	27:5	53:20	58 : 7	51:13
47:17	frustrated	54:5,16	heard 21:19	53:12
financially	43:4	58:4	22 : 7	55 : 2
_	full 12:8		hearth	58:3,4
61:14		guidelines		
fine 54:5	full-time	34:3	56:13	hospitals
finger	38:8		heavily	40:21
37:10	future 52:1	Н	14:11	hot 14:7
		half 23:18	held 26:11	•
fire 51:17			27:4	house
first 5:3		hallway		47:7,8
26:10,17	gaining	43:5	hereby 61:4	57 : 15
28:3,7,20	33:7	57:19	hers 29:8	housed 16:5
37:20,21	36:21	hand 25:12		human 51:20
38:2,6,11	gaps 55:20	26:5 , 7	he's 39:9	
39:9,15	general	hand-in-	58:18	Hussain 1:2
43:8	33:21	hand 8:1	Hetland	3:18
			5:7 , 14 , 15	26:10
floor 49:18	generally	handled	60:10	29:10
fluence	7:17	52:9	61:6	30:20
13:19	21:16	happened	H-e-t-l-a-	32:10
flush 52:16	31:14,16	32:21	n-d 5:15	33:4
	generated	33:19	n-a 5:15	37:21
focus 33:16	18:4 29:9	53:8	HETLAND	38:19
follow-on			1:11 4:4	41:1 43:5
44:4	generates	happens	5:1	45:10
	12:21	51:13	Hilt 49:14	46:16
follow-ups	given 5:8,9	hard 37:6,7		47:1
16:12	51 : 8	55:14	hire 52:4	49:12
foregoing	52 : 17	haven't	53:5,11,1	50:16
61:7	Goldson	53:10	8	55:6,10,1
form 30:1	56:7,8		hired 30:2	3 56:21
41:18	·	having 5:3	56 : 11	58:14
42:1 43:7	gone 46:4	34:1	hiring 52:5	59:5
53:21	gotten	42:10	_	Hussain's
54:17	40:18	44:20	Holly 47:13	29:15
		47 : 3	home 6:3	55:1 60:2
formal	great 9:2	head 5:10		55.1 00.2
20:15	group 2:4	38:5	hospital	
forms 53:13	26 : 4		3:2	I
	guess	health 6:10	4:9,13	I'd 25:3
forward	3	7:6,10	7:8,9	idea 48:18
				<u> </u>

\sim	\sim
/	۲.

58:20	20:4	29:6	21 56:3	61:16
	22:21		57:15	
identificat	24:19	interested	58:9 60:5	jumped
ion 28:1,6	indecision	61:14	I've 21:19	39:17
·	34:2	involves	22:7	June 1:9
identified		52 : 8	23:15	38:3,6,20
27:7	independent	isodose	27 : 5	
28:17	10:14,16, 20 12:16	12:1,2	48:10	K
identify		isotope	53:15 , 20	Karen
25:13	indicate	13:19		50:4,5,6
I'11 24:19	39:2 45:2	issue 35:5		Kathy 50:8
31:7	indicated	36:13	James 6:17	kidney 8:16
46:16	43:14	37:9 52:3	7:4	_
57:19	individual	issues 35:8	January	kidneys
Im 28:2	11:7	50:17	29:9	8:16
I'm 20:1,6	individuals	50:17	56:19	Kimberly
21:18	51:15	57:5,9,12	Jean	5:14 61:5
23:11,14,	infection	59:18	49:19,20	K-i-m-b-e-
16	50:7	It'll 11:11	·	r-1-y
25:1,2,8,			Jenny 28:21	5 : 15
15,18	inference	it's	29:2,21 30:18	KIMBERLY
26:17	43:19	5:11,17	39:6	1:11 4:4
27:16,19	info 43:18	6:3 7:4	41:4,6,19	5 : 1
28:12,15	information	8:4,21 10:1,4	48:2	King 2:3
36:8,20	13:12,14	11:13,14	55:4 , 5	4:16 5:6
37:2 38:16	15 : 9	12:12,19	Jensen 50:8	46:18,19,
41:9,19,2	initial	13:1,7,20		20
1 42:12	55 : 1	15:2,11	Jerry 28:21	57:20 , 21
45:12,13	installed	16:5,17	job	59:20
46:13,14	17:4	17:16,19	7:12,13,1	60:1,9
49:5		18:6	6 8:4,16	knowledge
51:12	instead	21:14	9:5 17:12	50:14,17
52:16,21	23:17	22:9	19:3	51 : 6
54:13,14,	institution	23:21	23:9,10 31:12	
20 57:18	24:3 58:5	24:2,7,18 26:19	56:5	L
59:14,21	institution	27:8		lack 35:11
60:4	s 30:14	29:18	Julie	Lake 6:4
image 12:5	instruction	33:13	31:8,10 32:7,11	language
images 8:5	s 5:8,9	37:10	33:5	31:4
18:1,3,9	insurance	42:5,9	35:21	33:12
imagined	57:10	47:13	36:6	36:12,14,
39:13		50:14	42:16,17	17,18
impinging	intensity	52 : 8	Julie's	37 : 8
9:2	12:12	53:16 54:5	55:9	last 6:14
include	interaction	55:14,16,	July 40:3,9	27 : 12
TUCTUGE		55.11,10,	Jury 40.3,9	

		NDOCIED ON 0/13	,	
29:15	51:20	45 : 17	1:5	22 : 7
40:18	52:1 53:4	46:11	MD 16:15	members
45:21	55 : 18	manager	20:15	22:21
Law 2:4	56:1	6:16,19	MDs 23:3	memory
lawsuit	locums	7:19		37 : 19
59:16,18	20:9,11	19:20,21	mean 14:7	54 : 19
least 17:2	30:3	24:12	20:6	58:13
-	34:6,7	50 : 2	24:11 33:10	mentioned
51:7	39:12	manner	38:4,5	7:2 17:12
less 12:9	46:1,2	51 : 17	39:7 51:5	20:19
let's 19:19	47:5 48:13	mapping	52:3,11	35 : 12
27:18	52:21	13:19	•	36:12
31:9	53:18	March 29:15	means 21:20	40:18
33:9,16		40:12	Medicaid	52:10 , 19
37:17	logo 26:5		57 : 4	53 : 4
40:17	long 6:11	mark 27:19	medical	messages
43:18	17:1	28:3	6:16 , 18	29 : 16
50:12	longer	marked	8:4	
letter 30:4	23:13,14	27:21	9:5,7,8,1	met 38:1
letters	lot 34:7	28:5	0,11 11:3	39:10
29:17		43:20,21	13:8	mind 7:18
level 46:5	lung 11:14	50:13	15:20,21	mine 9:16
	Lutheran	marketing	16:1,2	Ministry
line 12:6	36:8	25:1	17:7 20:4	3 : 2 6 : 9
13:19		marking	22:1,6 23:10,12,	7:6,7,9
59:14	M	28:15	13,14,15,	25:6
lines 12:6	M.D 16:13	Marsha	16,19	58:4,5,9
24:20	26:10	49:17	24:19	minus 10:17
lingo 21:9	ma'am 59:20	Mary's 3:2	28:21	minuses 9:1
Lisa 1:13	machine	7:8 16:5	32:12	
61:3,19	9:13,15	22:1,4	34:16	minute
listed	10:4	23:12	42:14,20	46:15
26:11	11:17	29:3 58:4	44:15	Mm-hmm
27:4	12:13	matches	48:1,19 49:4	17:11
little 14:7	13:6,16	13:9	50:14,17	19:1
52:2 53:1	21:7		51:6	50:15
	mails 41:3	Matt 3:3		51:4 53:3
Litzen	main 25:21	maybe	Medicare	mock 13:3
50:10		9:1,2,3,4	57 : 4	modified
live 6:2	malpractice	30:18	medications	34:19
loaf 12:4	57:2	31:5	54:9,17	modulated
locum	managed	33:13	meeting	12:12
20:9,10,1	51:18	37:18 52:5	37 : 21	14:10,12
2 29:4	management	52:5 56:19	38:1	module 15:2
35:1 46:4	19:8 20:6		meetings	modure 13:2
		MCDONALD	_	

	1	DOCTED ON 0/13	1	25
Mohammed	53:18	6:1,3	18 47:14	52:18
1:2 3:18	north 3:4	15:14	48:14	orchestrate
26:10	5:17 6:4	22:17	49:12,15,	20:11
Monday 1:9	25:2	41:12	17 53 : 16	
39:15		47:16	54:4,7	order 33:19
	Notary 5:3	58:17	56:12,15,	oriented
Monica	61:3	okay	18,21	39:14
49:14	notes 11:3	6:1,3,18	57:12	origin
monitoring	17:7	7:2,9,16	60:9	59 : 17
11:16	26:15	9:21	oncologist	originally
months 6:14	61:8	10:9,12	8:1,2	26:1
Moran 3:3	nothing	11:5,9	30:3 41:9	
60:12	22:10,11	13:11	42:12	outcomes
	35:14	14:9,14,2	45 : 8	35:13,15,
mouse 15:12	45:4	1	oncologists	17
18:20	55:11	15:4,8,14	21:3,4	output
multiple	notice 1:13	,18	42:20	13:10
11:21	noticed	16:7,10,1	55 : 20	outset
mute 46:14	38:10	6 17:3,6,17	oncology	51:16
		,21 18:3	6:16 , 19	outside
myself 25:7	notify	19:2,7,16	7:20	14:4
32:12	45:16	,19 20:18	16:11	
	nurse 20:5	21:6,14,2	19:20,21	overall
N	31:13	1	23:11,12,	31:17
N.W 2:5,14	nurses	22:12,19	16,19	overlook
national	22:21	23:3,19	24:4,13,1	9:17
57:13	nursing	25:5,10,1	9 26:13	
59:17	49:16,18	6,19,20,2	34:2	P
nature	49.10,10	1 26:21	ones 43:17	p.m 1:13
42:10		27:3,5,18	ongoing	60:16
45:13 , 15	0	28:9,12,1	29:18	page 4:3,10
nearly 46:1	object	6,19		26:10,16,
_	59:14	29:5,8,14	operational	17,20,21
necessary	objection	30:6,10	20:7	27:2,18
10:5	59:19	31:7,9 33:9	operations	28:3,4,7,
neither	obviously	35:19	20:1,8	13,15,20
13:14	12:14	36:9	24:15	38:12
nine 11:21	13:21	37:4,8	opinion	41:13
nod 5:10	54:2	38:3,10	50 : 18	44:3
	office 2:13	39:1,6,18	51:6 , 7	pages 4:14
Nope 42:5	45:16	40:2,17	52 : 19	26:1,9
nor 42:13	46:10,11	41:6,12,1	55 : 5	27:6,8,9,
61:12,13	50:11	5 , 21	58:13	15
normally	59:14	43:2,7	opportunity	28:2,12
5:7 25:11	60:14	44:15,20	50 : 16	paragraph
52:9	Oh 5:21	45 : 9	51:8,10	44:13
		46:16,17,		

	44 10 01	1	0 6 16	
paralysis	44:18,21	phone 29:20	9:6,16 10:11	practice
8:15	57:1,7	46:14	11:5,7	9:14 34:2
parameters	Paula	photons	12:11,17	praise 42:6
10:10	50:1,2	11:10	13:4,16	predated
particular	payment	phrase	14:16,17,	38:11
6:11 7:6	57 : 4	21:9,11	18,20	predicted
19:13	payments	30:8	15:9,12,1	13:9
24:3 35:5	57:9	physical	5,17,18	
particularl		54:9,18	17:8,13,2	prefer 7:13
y 55:19	PDF 17:12	·	0	prepares
	18:10,19	physician	18:7,8,12	29:4
parties	PDFs 11:6	8:5,21 16:12	,21 34:18	prescriptio
1:15	17:18	18:7 29:4	44:7,8,10	n 8:3
61:11,12	peer 41:9	30:13	,17,21	
passed	people 16:7	33:14	planned	prescriptio
12:15	51:13,18	42:14	13:9	ns 16:13
passes	·	45:18	45:3 , 7	present
13:21	percent	48:17	planning	1:14
passing	10:17	56:11	10:15	2:9,17
14:13	perform	physicians	12:19	3:17,19
	7:18	21:3,16	18:5	49:9
past	performance	22:14,18	plans 8:21	president
7:14,15 14:11	52:13	23:3		49:4,6,12
41:8	perhaps	55 : 15	please 5:13	pretty
48:15	25:3	physicist	7:16	14:11
		9:7,10,11	16:14	20:16
paste 15:9	period	10:2,3,20	29:1 49:10	39:12
pasting	47:12	12:17		prevent
15:11	54:15	13:13,18	plus 10:17	54:10
patient	56:10	·	pluses 9:1	
8:2,18	permit 30:2	physicists 13:8 20:4	point	previous
9:18	person 5:8	23:1	40:10,14	9:18
10:19	9:12 10:1			primarily
11:20	21:6	picture	position	9 : 5
12:2	45:5,19	20:6	6:6,12	prior 6:14
13:3,7	51:17	piece 12:1	7:14,15	9:20
14:18	53:5,11	pixel 14:7	23:7 24:12	29:11
33:8	personal	_	55 : 17	41:15
34:17	42:10,15	places 42:7		50:18
35:12	45:13,14	plaintiff	positions	private
43:6,10 50:20	person's	1:3,12	21:15	57:10
	42:21	2:2 3:18	possible	privilege
patients	52:12	5:2 , 5	42:9	48:9
31:17	phantom	plan	Potentially	
34:5	13:3	8:6,10,17	37:14	privileged
35:5,8		, 20		48:17

	1		·	
privileges	32:17	41:4	45:9	receiving
4:9,13	34:3	questions	ranking	12:3
26:6,11,1	39:12	5:9 9:8	43:10	recently
4 27:4,14	44:18	28:18	ready 14:18	39:21
29:11	47:6,10	34:6,7,9	_	recommend
39:2	provides	54:10	realize	
47:18	28:14	57 : 18	39:20	30:12,19 32:10
53:13,14	providing	59:16	really 8:17	33:4
57:15	34:5	60:9,12,1	9:2 10:1	
privileging	50:18	3	11:6	record 5:13
47:14,20		quick 57:19	12:19	16:5 61:8
48:17	Public 5:3	1,000	13:9,18	records
probably	61:4	R	14:10	11:3
17:2 20:3	publicize		15:11	15:19,20,
39:17	42:4	race 35:19	17:19	21 16:1,3
41:20	purposes	58:17	25:14	17 : 7
45:8 51:9	23:19	59:11,12,	29:6	27:19
		17 60:3	34:10	30:14,17
problem	pursuant	radiation	42:10	34:16
16:19	1:13	6:16,19	52:15	35 : 3
procedures	push 46:4	7:20	reason	38:21
52:10	pushing	8:1,8,13,	32:10	40:6
process	34:21	14	33:3	45:2,6
39:15		10:2,7,8,	36:14,17	58:12,16
47:20	puts 10:4	14,19	recall	recruiter
		11:9,13		47:7,11
professiona	Q	12:12	31:18	
1 21:10	QA 9:15	13:12	32:1,4,7,	recruitment
professiona	12:21	14:3	20,21 33:2	20:10
ls 22:20	13:12	16:11	34:21	refer 15:20
23:4	14:11	19:20,21	35:4,7,13	16:2,7
provide	quality	20:4,18,1	37:6	21:14
25:13	12:10,11,	9	39:11,18	reference
31:19,21	13 13:1,3	21:1,2,3,	40:11,13	26 : 7
32:15,18	52 : 15	4,5 22:21 23:11,21	42:19	47 : 19
53:12		24:3,13	43:6,12,1	53:13
	question 42:7 48:8	24:3,13	5 45:4	referring
provided	42:7 48:8 50:21	30:3 34:2	47:21	46:10
26:1 51:10	50:21	41:9	54:2,19	
	54:8	42:12,18	55 : 12	reflect
52:18 58:11,13	59:21	44:7,8	56:16	34:16
	60:2	45:8	57 : 8	refresh
provider		55:20	receive	37:18
20:10,14	questioning		29:16,19	58:12
26:10,12,	59:15	radiology	30:6,11	regarding
18 27:4	questionnai	24:5,7		12:14
28:8,14	res 29:19	radonc 38:8	received	15:19
29:3,7		44:17,20	40:19	±0•±9

23:7	12:14	56:9	40:9,14	27:10
31:20	22:13	R-h-i-n-e-	57 : 1	33:9
32:15,19	24:14	1-a-n-d-	scan 12:3	separating
34:13	61:5	e-r 5:18	18 : 18	7:19
35:8	reported		scenario	service
50:17	35:14	risk 45:16	53:5,17	24:8,20
56:21	Reporter	46:8,10,1	·	56:4
57:9 , 12	4:5	1	scenarios	
regional	61:1,3,19	RMC 1:3	46:2	services
6:7 23:8	reporting	RN 31:13	schedule	6:7,13
24:11,17	20:3	Road 6:4	20:11	23:8,11 24:5,20
25:2			scope 9:14	48:20
regions	represents	ROBERT 1:5	screen	
25:7	12:6	role 6:15	17:19	Shore 3:4
registry	requested	18:16	18:9,10	5:17
20:5	47:9 61:7	24:20	·	shortly
regular	requests	47:3	second	56:13
51:19	30:7	roles 18:16	28:4,15	shots 17:19
		room	33:17	18:9,10
related	resources 51:20	46:15,19	40:3,9 50:13	shows 11:16
24:14		·	57:19	
26:2	respective	rotational 8:8		sic 38:9
relative	1:14		secondary 9:20	signature
61:10,12	responded	routing		28:20
relevant	41:17	41:7	SECRETARY	29:8 44:4
59:18	responsible	row 43:8	1:6	simple 52:7
religion	23:11,17	50:13,14	section	single
36:2,6	25:3,8	run 13:6,7	44:3	18:14
58:19	result	58:5	seemed 31:3	situation
59:8,17	53:14	running	seen 37:15	53:8
remember	Retained	56:4	53:10	
31:11	4:16	runs 21:7	self-	situations 51:21
34:12,15	retired		evident	
37:16,21	49:20		5:11	six 6:14
38:1,4		safe		11:20
40:15	review 9:16	8:17 , 18	send 13:5	slice 18:14
43:2,3,4,	13:8,18 18:6 45:5	15:19	sense 9:17	slices
17,20 45:1,9	55:1 61:6		26:3 47:3	18:9,17
56:7,8		Saint 3:2	56 : 3	small 14:7
· ·	reviews	Sandy 49:11	sensitivity	
remembered	8:20 13:19	satellites	8:13	smooth 14:8
39:21		25 : 4	sent 30:15	software
remote	Rhinelander	satisfactor	41:19	13:4
55:15 , 17	3:5 5:18	y 52:13	separate	14:20,21
report	6:4	_	12:17	15:3,6,10
	22:2,5	saw 34:17	⊥∠•⊥/	18:21

	CONDUCTED ON 6/13/2016			29
20:13,14,	spell 5:13	steps 51:19	supposed	48 : 3
15	16:14	52 : 9	14:4 39:2	target 8:18
somebody	spinal 8:15	57 : 20	44:11	12:5,7
30:17	_	Steven 43:1	54 : 4	·
	spot 14:7	55:9	sure 5:14	tasked 25:1
someone 35:15	Square 2:4	58:17	6:3 7:21	team 46:3
37:11	St 7:8 16:5	stint 38:9	10:4,16	technical
	22:1,4	55:19	16:15	16:4,6,11
somewhere	23:12		32 : 7	21:4
27:11	29:3 58:4	stints	36:8,13,1	technology
sorry 38:16	staff	55 : 18	8,20	31 : 4
54:13	20:5,17	stop 30:1	37:1,2	telephone
59:21	22:1,6,21	Street	39:5	2:9,17
sort 27:10	26:21	2:5,14	42:18 44:13	3:19
28:17	28:21	strong 54:2	46:13	
44:3	49:4		59:2	Telephonic
45:15,16,	52:20	structure 58:3		1:10
17 51:17	standardize		surprise 38:5,7,13	terminology
Sounds	25 : 9	structures		16:2
25:20	start 5:12	8:12,19	surprised	21:19
span 6:14	7:14,15	9:3,4	40:6	terms 16:1
spare	34:10	stuff 41:7	<pre>sworn 5:3</pre>	58:3 , 12
8:11,19	started	sufficient	system	testified
spares 9:3	17:8 21:1	52:13	16:3,8,13	45:1
_	39:17	suggesting	27 : 11	testifies
speak 59:3,5	56:19	36:17	systems	5 : 4
· ·	starts	Suite 2:6	16:10	thank 16:18
speaking	44:6,16	summarizes		46:18
7:17 21:16	state 6:1	11:7	T	58:1
31:14	57 : 12		Taaffe 2:12	60:10,11
	States 2:13	summary	59:13	thankful
<pre>specific 11:3</pre>	36:4,10	11:6,9,18 ,19	60:13	55:13 , 17
31:20,21	59:1	18:11,14	talk	that's
32:3,18	static 8:9	supervise	19:8,19	5:9,14,17
34:13		22:14,17	25:18	,18 7 : 5
35:4,8	stenographi c 61:8	·	41:6 42:3 46:15	8:17 9:5
40:16		supervised		10:8,19
specificall	stenographi	20:17	talked	11:17,18
y 12:11	cally	supervisor	24:11	14:18 16:7,16
27:13	61:5	50:2	talking	18:19
31:18	step 46:15	supply	17:6,8,9	19:5
42:16	57:18	38:20	23:20	20:14,15,
specify	Stepids	supports	25:18 27:13	16 21:9
11:11,12	49:19	43:10	35 : 11	26:19
	i .	i	J J • 1 1	29.6

	COI	NDOCIED ON 6/13	72010	30
34:10	,18 40:12	45 : 20	55 : 15	26 : 6
38:21	thoroughnes	treatments		27 : 14
42:5,7	s 43:9	9:18	U	30 : 7
43:9,13		44:6,17	<u>u.s</u> 59:13	47 : 18
53:8 58:7	title 50:7	·	60:13	53 : 12
59:19	today 58:1	tried		verificatio
60:9	60:10	41:2,3	umbrella	ns
therapist	Toothachre	troubles	24:4,5	30:9,10,1
10:8,19	43:1,4	31:4	understand	5 40:20
21:4	58:17	troubleshoo	21:17	41:16
		t 14:12	37:6 , 7	
therapists	Toothachre'		understandi	verify
13:6	s 55:9	troubleshoo	ng 23:20	10:15
20:4,18,1 9 21:2	top 28:8,14	ting 20:8	36 : 15	55:9
22:21	38:4	true 41:9		versa 37:7
42:19	tough 14:11	61:7	unit 11:17	version
		trust	United 2:13	19:13,15,
therapy	Tracy	33:15,17	36:4,10	16
12:12	50:10,11	35:13 , 17	59 : 1	VETERANS
23:21	trainers	45 : 20	upon 22:12	1:6
therefore	20:16	51:14	50 : 21	
28:17	training		unnor	vexatious
59:16	20:15	try	upper 26:5,7	59:19
there'll	39:14	25:15,17 42:2	20.5,7	via 2:9,17
12:13				3:19
there's 9:3	transcript	trying	<u> </u>	vice 37:7
10:14,17	61:6,7	37:11	vacations	
11:15	transcripti	41:21	39:12	view 59:16
12:10	on 50:3	45:13	vague 31:21	Vilisch
21:9	treating	52:16	32 : 3	31:8
26:13	12:5 13:7	53:1	Varian	V-i-l-i-s-
28:20	treatment	tumor	15:2,6,10	c-h 31:8
29:7 31:7	8:6,7	8:3,11	16:4	visit 39:16
35:14	9:20	9:4	18:6,21	VISIT 39:16
50:13	10:11,15	tumoricidal	variance	visits
51:16,19	11:5,7,18	8:10	10:17	39 : 17
58:4	12:11,17,	two-way		voice 41:3
they're	19	12:20	various	voicemail
14:11	13:4,5,16		22 : 7	29 : 16
16:1	14:17	Tyler 2:3	verbal	
17:19	15:9	type 48:1	58 : 15	volume 8:4
18:1 21:2	17:8,13,2	51:15	verificatio	9:4 12:7
26:1 30:9	0	typed	n 4:9,13	14:4
34:4,6,8,	18:5,6,12	27:16,19	13:16	VP 49:16
9	,20,21	·	14:15,20	
third	34:18	types 26:14 37:12	15:12,15,	W
27:3,8,16	39:16,17	3/:14	17,18	walking

	CON	DUCTED ON 6/13/2016	21
34:8	whether	working	
Washington	36:9,13,1	23:15	
2:7,15	8 , 20	44:16	
wasn't	40:13	works 7:21	
34:19	42:11	8:5 29:2	
37:13	45:6 48:8	49:20	
45:14	51:21	50:11	
52:5,17	52:12	write 31:7	
	58:21	38:18	
ways	whichever	54:3	
37:14,15	7:13		
week 35:1	white 35:20	writes 8:2	
46:1,2,3,	36:1 60:5	written	
5 56:2	whole 18:12	31:18	
Weissmann		wrote 41:12	
1:14	23:17 54:6	46:9 54:3	
61:3,19			
welcome	who's 9:12	X	
58:2	WI 3:5	X's 41:12	
we'll 8:8,9	Wickham		
11:6	49:17	Y	
12:12	Wiedeman	<u>yep</u> 18:7	
15:20	50:4,5,6	24:16	
18:8,14			
25:18	Wisconsin	you'll	
	5:18 6:4	12:6,7,8,	
we're 6:9	22:2,5	9,13	
11:9 12:5	wish 37:16	your's 55:9	
13:6 17:6,9	Witness 4:3		
25:3	46:17	Z	
28:18	59 : 21	Zelechowski	
38:12	60 : 11	50:1	
45:18	wondering	Zenk 49:15	
48:3 52:4	45:12		
we've 17:2	work		
28:17	5:16,20,2		
48:12	1 6:6		
55 : 7	20:9,10		
whatever	24:14		
50:17	25:8		
51:18	29:18		
	33:14		
Whereupon	34:3		
27:21	47:7,8		
28:5	50:9		
60:15	55 : 13		